	1				
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 18-CR-00684(ENV) :				
4	: : United States Courthouse -against- : Brooklyn, New York				
5	i i				
6	: May 30, 2019 : 10:00 a.m.				
7 8	ELGIN BRACK and SCOTT : BRACK, :				
9	Defendants.				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE				
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC N. VITALIANO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
12	APPEARANCES:				
13	For the Government: RICHARD P. DONOGHUE, ESQ.				
14	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York				
15 16	271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201				
17	BY: PHILIP SELDEN, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney				
18	For Dock Clarks Decoder AM OFFICES OF JOHN STEIN FSO				
19	For Deft Elgin Brack: LAW OFFICES OF JOEL M. STEIN, ESQ. 30 Wall Street 8th Floor				
20	New York, New York 10005				
21	BY: JOEL STEIN, ESQ.				
22	For Deft Scott Brack: STEVE ZISSOU & ASSOCIATES				
2324	42-40 Bell Boulevard Suite 302 Bayside, New York 11361				
25	BY: STEVE ZISSOU, ESQ.				

	Proceedings 2			
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued.)			
2	Court Reporter: DENISE PARISI, RPR, CRR			
3	Official Court Reporter United States Courthouse 225 Cadman Plaza East			
4	Brooklyn, New York 11201			
5	Telephone: (718) 613-2605 E-mail: DeniseParisi72@gmail.com			
6 7	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.			
8	* * * *			
9	(In open court.)			
10	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now open.			
11	The Honorable Eric N. Vitaliano is presiding. The case on			
12	calendar is USA versus Elgin Brack and Scott Brack, Case No.			
13	18-CR-684 on for a status conference.			
14	Would the attorneys please note their appearance			
15	beginning with Government counsel?			
16	MR. SELDEN: Good morning, Your Honor. On behalf of			
17	the United States, Assistant United States Attorney, Phil			
18	Selden. Good morning.			
19	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Selden.			
20	MR. STEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Joel Stein			
21	for Elgin Brack.			
22	THE COURT: Good morning.			
23	MR. ZISSOU: Scott Brack appears through counsel,			
24	Steve Zissou. Good morning. Nice to see you again, Your			
25	Honor.			

3 Proceedings Good morning, same here. 1 THE COURT: 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Counsel for both sides are 3 present, including defendants. 4 THE COURT: And the Bracks as well. All right, Mr. Selden, why don't you catch us up, 5 6 please. Thank you, Your Honor. 7 MR. SELDEN: 8 Your Honor, the Government's discovery productions 9 continue on. We have specifically provided four additional 10 discovery productions to both Elgin Brack and Scott Brack by 11 way of counsel. In addition, plea offers have been extended 12 to both defendants. At this time the Government would be 13 happy to set a motions hearing date, or, if the defense would 14 like more time, we would be happy to provide additional time 15 for the review of discovery as well as plea considerations. Thank you. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Counsel? 19 MR. ZISSOU: Lead counsel, Mr. Stein. 20 THE COURT: You appointed him, Mr. Zissou? 21 MR. STEIN: So, Judge, we have -- as Mr. Selden 22 correctly stated, we have received some recent discovery, 23 although, frankly, it's not particularly voluminous as 24 compared to the very voluminous discovery from before, and I 25 have been trying to plow through it, but the problem is and

Proceedings

that persists, and there's been a lot of back and forth with Mr. Selden about this in the MDC -- I don't have an explanation for it, but I will report to you my understanding of what's happened.

So there are two forms of electronic discovery the Government has sent to the jail: There are a number of CDs and then there's a hard drive, and there's a password for -- all the same password for all the CDs, same password for the hard drive. So the CDs have been sent to their clients in their units so they are able to review the CDs in their unit with the password for all the CDs. There hasn't been a problem with that.

The hard drive is a different issue and this came up last time when we were before you about a month ago.

So the Government made arrangements with the MDC for the hard drive to be placed in the east wing, the other building, and they were given a schedule when they could go over there. It's two or three days a week, I forget exactly how many days, but the problem has been the password for the hard drive.

I have given my client several times the password, instructed him carefully, hopefully, that the password has to be entered obviously precisely; so there's lower case, upper case, numbers, symbols, and Mr. Selden has sent it to me multiple times, same password, I conveyed it to my client, and

Denise Parisi , RPR , CRR Officia Court Reporter

5 Proceedings he has not been able to open the hard drive. 1 2 Also, Mr. Selden informed us that some MDC officials 3 went to that building, entered the password and they didn't 4 have a problem opening it up. So I don't know what to tell you, Judge, but it's a persistent problem. 5 Is there a way for whoever that other 6 THE COURT: 7 person was to open up the hard drive for your clients --8 MR. SELDEN: It was a BOP official. I don't know if 9 it was a Correction's officer or someone from legal, or I 10 don't know who it was. 11 THE COURT: Just to open it up. 12 It sounds easy, Judge, but it's --MR. STEIN: 13 THE COURT: I know nothing is easy in the jailhouse. 14 MR. STEIN: Mr. Zissou will speak for himself, but I think his client is having the same problem. 15 16 I'm assuming it goes to things on the CD THE COURT: 17 are different from the things on the hard drive, right? 18 MR. STEIN: Yes. 19 MR. ZISSOU: There's a lot of data and the data is 20 password protected; it starts the initial difficulty, you can 21 usually get through that. If you recall last time we were 22 here, the defense suggested that the defendants be supplied 23 with laptops that we would purchase, load the appropriate 24 software on it, and then give it to the attorney for the

Government to do whatever they thought was appropriate, load

6 Proceedings 1 up the data on it, and give each one to the clients. 2 The warden made a suggestion, which we thought was 3 We'll give them visit -- we'll give them review 4 time in the visit room, two days a week, with access to the 5 discovery. And we thought that was a reasonable suggestion, mindful of the fact that there are security issues that the 6 7 BOP has to be concerned with. 8 I have to tell you, Judge, that it does appear to me 9 that both the attorney for the Government and the Bureau of 10 Prisons have made an effort to make this work. Regretfully, 11 it hasn't worked yet; password issues, who's got what CD. It 12 looks to me like these are issues that should be able to be 13 resolved, and so what I'm proposing is simply we give it 14 another time, we pick another status conference, we'll keep trying, and if we still can't resolve it by then, then we'll 15 16 make whatever additional application we think we need to. 17 MR. STEIN: Who knows, maybe we will get more 18 discovery in the intervening time. 19 THE COURT: Are you onboard with that suggestion, 20 Mr. Stein? 21 MR. STEIN: Yes, Judge. 22 Mr. Selden, how about you? THE COURT: 23 MR. SELDEN: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

Your Honor, with regards to the actual logistics for defendants Elgin and Scott Brack, we would just inquire

24

	Proceedings				
1	through the Court whether or not counsel for Elgin Brack and				
2	Scott Brack have had any past work or other logistical issues				
3	opening the discovery. We just want to see whether or not				
4	there's been any issues for the attorneys with regards to				
5	their ability to open up the discovery.				
6	THE COURT: Have either of you tried to open that?				
7	MR. STEIN: We don't have the hard drive, it's a				
8	different password issue, but I haven't had any problem,				
9	actually. Mr. Selden has been very helpful in pointing some				
10	things out for me so the answer is no.				
11	THE COURT: You haven't tried at the facility				
12	yourself				
13	MR. STEIN: I can't go to that building.				
14	THE COURT: Well, you said the visitor center so I				
15	wasn't sure if that's where				
16	MR. STEIN: It's in the east building.				
17	MR. SELDEN: Actually, Your Honor, I had an				
18	opportunity to speak with Michael Cardew who is a				
19	representative from MDC Brooklyn, and apparently paralegals				
20	and legal counsel can go to the east visiting room. But my				
21	question was more whether or not counsel themselves have had				
22	an ability to open the discovery at their offices.				
23	THE COURT: At their own offices?				
24	MR. SELDEN: At their own offices.				
25	MR. STEIN: I have had no problem.				

MR. ZISSOU: So, Judge, what I did, because there's a lot of -- what's the word? -- learning time? I forget the exact phrases, but it takes a while to get comfortable with the data and how to open it. So what we did was we copied all the data onto a non-protected disk so we can access it immediately without --

THE COURT: And you mean in your office?

MR. ZISSOU: Correct. So it took some days to transfer all the data onto a non-protected disk, but once you do that, we have no problem whatsoever accessing the data. There's some software issues, you have to download some software from the Internet for it to run smoothly, you know, but these are sort of common 2019 issues that lawyers face all the time.

My primary concern and my primary belief here is the computers and the hardware in the visiting room in the MDC, it's ENIAC level. I mean, it's back to the '70s. And, again, I appreciate that they don't want to spend time and money on software and hardware, but the computers there are not the top of the line stuff that we have in my office; they don't have Internet access so they can't download or figure out how to make it work. Again, these are problems that should be resolvable if the Bureau of Prisons continues to be committed to making it so.

THE COURT: It sounds like they are.

MR. ZISSOU: It does.

MR. SELDEN: Your Honor, now understanding that both Mr. Zissou and Mr. Stein have had the ability to open up all the discovery productions made by the Government, I would like to make the following representations about MDC Brooklyn's efforts to facilitate Elgin Brack and Scott Brack's ability to look at the productions previously produced.

Specifically, Elgin Brack is allowed to go to the east visiting room on Mondays and Wednesdays. At that time, he is provided an opportunity to preview, through the hard drive -- he's provided an opportunity from 8:00 a.m. to approximately 3:30 p.m. Notably, this is more than the time that would be allowed for another inmate accessing the law library. As well, he's provided lunch so he doesn't have to go back to his actual unit.

Scott Brack is provided an opportunity to attend on Tuesdays and Thursdays with the same access to the hard drive as well as provided lunch. Access to the DVDs is provided in every unit from 6:00 a.m. to the recall time at approximately 3:30 p.m., seven days a week.

As of May 7th, both defendants were given hard drive access in the east visiting room. It's our understanding that there have been difficulties with the password. As a result we inquired through MDC Brooklyn whether or not they would be willing to go in and essentially spot check, see whether or

not the passwords work. And, to be clear, in terms of the times --

THE COURT: But not while the --

MR. SELDEN: Not while the defendants were present.

And I will share with the Court, Elgin Brack and Scott Brack are one of five inmates at MDC Brooklyn who are afforded an opportunity to go to the east visiting room to utilize this hard drive procedure. As the Court is probably no doubt well aware, when this case sort of came through at its early inception, Ms. Seltzer was counsel and she was physically not in the jurisdiction and so, as a result, we came up with this plan to allow Elgin Brack and Scott Brack access to discovery in addition to their counsel.

There are five out of approximately 1500 inmates at the MDC that are allowed this east visiting room privilege, if you will. As a result, also, MDC Brooklyn's position is that actually tech spotting, if you will, with the inmates present, because it is a jail facility, that's not something that they are necessarily equipped to do and so, in inquiring, we asked whether or not a paralegal or a legal counsel could go into the east visiting room. Mr. Cardew reported that they could, and so if Mr. Zissou and Mr. Stein have not had issues with the password, one potential --

THE COURT: You mean while their client is present?

MR. SELDEN: Yes. And, in fact, that might be one

possible solution to alleviate the password issue.

As it relates to the password, we actually did have an MDC Brooklyn representative try to utilize the password on both hard drives; they were able to do so successfully. In addition, they were able to spot check the various documents on the hard drives and see if they opened. We understand Mr. Zissou's representations about 1970's computers, but the files that they were able to open, they were actually able to open them on the hard drives. So we wanted to actually share that as it relates to the actual hard drives.

As it relates to the CDs, Scott Brack, we understand, is currently in possession of two CDs. Elgin Brack is in possession of one CD. Everything that is on the CDs is a mirror, with the exception that Scott Brack has specific materials germane to Scott Brack that's on his CDs. So that's why there's one CD versus two. There is not a reason why Elgin Brack should have an additional CD.

In addition, there are two CDs that are on their way to Elgin and Scott Brack that they should be able to utilize in their housing unit.

I have been informed that, as of May 29th, yesterday, Mr. Elgin Brack declined to go to the east visiting room to actually attempt to review material, and so we wanted to flag that for the Court as far as where we stand. That might mean he's frustrated with the process, and so we are not

Casi	Case 1.10-ci-00004-Liv Document 115 Thed 12/20/19 Fage 12 of 25 Fagerb #. 500			
	Proceedings 12			
1	impugning anything as it relates to Mr. Elgin Brack, but one			
2	possible suggestion would be to have the lawyers go and try			
3	out the passwords but that			
4	THE COURT: And show them how to open it?			
5	MR. SELDEN: Yes, Your Honor. And since the lawyers			
6	now have reviewed through the discovery themselves they would			
7	be able to potentially identify, and the Government has			
8	previously asked Mr. Zissou for specific Bates numbers. If			
9	there's a document that Scott Brack or Elgin Brack cannot			
10	open, because the Government has Bates stamped its			
11	productions, we would simply just ask: What document are you			
12	referring to that can't be opened?			
13	Thank you, Your Honor.			
14	MR. ZISSOU: Judge, as I said, it does appear that			
15	the parties are all working together diligently to resolve			
16	this problem. I'm hopeful that we will be able to do it, and			
17	again, if it falls awry, we will let Your Honor know.			
18	THE COURT: Well, based on something that Mr. Stein			
19	said earlier, I didn't think that you all could get in there			
20	while they were there and, apparently, according to			

Mr. Selden, that is now possible.

MR. ZISSOU: Yeah.

21

22

23

24

25

So that might assist them and you, THE COURT: frankly, that you can be there with your client at the same time and review the documents with them.

	Proceedings 13				
1	MR. ZISSOU: I'm looking forward to that, Judge, but				
2	regretfully Mr. Selden and I are starting the same trial on				
3	Monday in front of your colleague.				
4	THE COURT: Well, let Mr. Stein do it.				
5	MR. STEIN: Actually, I'm going to be there				
6	tomorrow, Judge.				
7	MR. ZISSOU: He has my permission to go every day				
8	next week and hopefully Your Honor will agree.				
9	MR. SELDEN: Your Honor, there was one as it				
10	relates not necessarily to this discovery, but as it relates				
11	to additional productions, I failed to mention that the				
12	Government previously provided expert notice provided				
13	expert notice to defendants Elgin Brack and Scott Brack,				
14	regarding DNA evidence, and we put that in a production to the				
15	defense relating to DNA and cell tower evidence as well.				
16	Thank you, Your Honor.				
17	THE COURT: Okay.				
18	MR. STEIN: Judge, my client has informed me that he				
19	would like to address the Court, not about the evidence but				
20	about this discovery review process.				
21	THE COURT: You advised him, of course, that				
22	anything he says				
23	DEFENDANT ELGIN BRACK: I have no problem.				
24	THE COURT: I will hear you.				
25	DEFENDANT ELGIN BRACK: I I've seen one of the				

14

1 CDs that my codefendant has and I've seen the CD that I have.

2 For some reason, I don't know why, my codefendant's second CD

3 has nothing but me on there. My pictures, my texts, my

4 Facebook, and I think I need to see that. It doesn't have

5 | nothing to do with him.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As far as the password on the hard drive, it's not working. I wouldn't lie. I asked the lawyer, can he bring all of this to court and just show it to you, but he says it might be a hard thing to do, but I don't think this is fair. I have been in MDC for like six month, I cannot look at the hard drive. He sent me this K5J whatever password months ago. I have been trying it all different type of ways. It doesn't work. I wouldn't lie.

And as far as the second CD that he gave to my codefendant that has me on there, I don't have that CD. I want that CD.

THE COURT: All right.

DEFENDANT ELGIN BRACK: And I'm speaking now in open court, she's typing it up, so you can do your own research on these two CD that he got and you will see that it's me. When you -- when my lawyer comes tomorrow to the east and he tries that password and it doesn't work, I just want this noted down in the court. I'm not calling him a liar or nothing, but he's just mistaken.

THE COURT: We've heard that issue, Mr. Brack, and

Proceedings

we understand that the next step will be the lawyers appearing with their respective clients in trying to make -- since they have been able to access the information using that password, to see if they can access the information on the computers that you use to access the information.

DEFENDANT ELGIN BRACK: But the attorneys, they have either cards or CDs; they don't have the hard drive.

THE COURT: No, they are coming to you at the MDC and accessing the one that you have, and then they will see if they can do it, and to the extent they are successful, maybe they can show you what misstep you are making in the computer process that makes you unable to open them. So that's what the next step will be.

With respect to discovery, I'm sure the respective lawyers will, to the extent that information has been not provided that's appropriate, I'm sure we will hear either that that has been worked out among counsel or there will be an application made to the Court.

DEFENDANT ELGIN BRACK: All right. So will I be getting a second disk or can I just take it from my codefendant?

THE COURT: Well, we will have to find out from counsel after they confer.

MR. SELDEN: Your Honor, I can clarify what was on one disk versus another without going into the substance of

Denise Parisi , RPR , CRR Officia Court Reporter

Proceedings

that particular disk. It was e-mails with Scott Brack and his attorney that we do not believe are documents that we should be providing to Elgin Brack. So as a result, that's why he does not have that additional disk containing those e-mails.

As it relates to a phone download, the Government has actually provided specific Bates stamp ranges to Mr. Stein for, for example, Google searches by what we believe is Elgin Brack on the day before and the day of the alleged incidents in question. Those are items that could be, for example --

THE COURT: I think the question Mr. Elgin Brack is raising is whether or not the Government has provided to Mr. Scott Brack information about Mr. Elgin Brack that the Government hasn't provided to Mr. Elgin Brack.

MR. SELDEN: No, it's actually just that we've provided information to Scott Brack that is specific to Scott Brack versus Elgin Brack, and so that is the answer. It's Scott Brack, as a codefendant, would also have information about Elgin Brack and vice versa.

THE COURT: But there's nothing about -- the point that I think that's been raised, and we can have your representation on it, is that there is nothing that's not going to be or is not available either on the CDs or on the hard drive that relates to Mr. Elgin Brack that has been provided to Mr. Scott Brack that hasn't been provided to Mr. Elgin Brack.

Denise Parisi , RPR , CRR Officia , Court Reporter

17 Proceedings 1 MR. SELDEN: That's correct. With the exception of 2 the e-mails, that is correct, Your Honor. 3 And, Your Honor, just with regard to logistics, I 4 understand that Mr. Stein plans to visit Elgin Brack tomorrow. 5 We would suggest that he visit on a Monday when Mr. Elgin Brack's actual scheduled visiting days are in the east 6 7 visiting room. It's my understanding that that room is 8 actually fairly well utilized and so having an attorney and 9 his client in there tomorrow just might not logistically be 10 something that would work. Unless Mr. Stein has spoken 11 previously to representatives at MDC about the planned visit, 12 we just recommend Monday. 13 MR. STEIN: I can't go Monday. I don't need to 14 discuss my schedule with anybody. I can't go Monday, I have a 15 previous commitment, and I don't tell the MDC about -- I 16 didn't tell them anything about what has been suggested here, 17 since we're doing it for the first time, so I'll find another 18 day and see what we can work out. 19 MR. SELDEN: Mondays or Wednesdays are his visiting 20 days. 21 THE COURT: I think what he's also suggesting is 22

that you might want to phone today because perhaps with prearrangement you can do it tomorrow.

23

24

25

I think that was the point, correct, Mr. Selden? MR. SELDEN: Yes, Your Honor.

Proceedings 18

MR. STEIN: I will contact legal and see what I can work out.

THE COURT: You might be able to do a special for tomorrow.

Okay, so we know how we want to go forward, but we don't know the time schedule.

MR. SELDEN: And, Your Honor, just one last -Murphy's law, if for whatever reason, for example, Mr. Stein
or Mr. Zissou are unable to utilize the passwords with their
clients, we would ask Mr. Stein and Mr. Zissou to propose a
laptop, as Mr. Zissou has voiced already, and propose it to
Government counsel as well as Ms. McFarland, the make, the
model, and when they think they will be available to purchase
that item so that we can actually move forward and download
the documents if for whatever reason they are not able to
access the hard drives.

MR. ZISSOU: I'm happy to send them one now. I'm sure the Court wouldn't object if I spent a minor amount purchasing a laptop. The way we've done it in the past is we just buy it from the maker, send it directly to the attorney for the Government, still wrapped, and they load it up. They have access to the same software that you need. We don't even need to touch it; that's how we've done that in the past. I'm happy to do that now to get things going.

THE COURT: As long as Jerry Tritz doesn't get mad

	Proceedings 19				
1	at Mr. Zissou, it's okay with me.				
2	MR. ZISSOU: I will clear it with him before I do				
3	anything, Judge.				
4	MR. SELDEN: Your Honor, I do want to say, though,				
5	that MDC has requested that we try to work out the actual				
6	portable hard drives first.				
7	THE COURT: Yes, that would be in everyone's best				
8	interest, if that's doable. If it proves not doable, then we				
9	have to go to plan B.				
10	MR. SELDEN: Absolutely.				
11	THE COURT: Or Z, wherever we're at.				
12	MR. ZISSOU: Z, I like Z, Judge. And we'll keep				
13	working, I promise you, Judge.				
14	THE COURT: I thought you might.				
15	All right, does that bring us to when we shall find				
16	out at our next status conference as to whether or not all of				
17	this is worked out and which plan worked?				
18	MR. SELDEN: That's good, Your Honor.				
19	THE COURT: You say about 30 days?				
20	MR. SELDEN: That sounds good. Thank you, Your				
21	Honor.				
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: How's June 28th?				
23	MR. ZISSOU: I might have to leave by then. I think				
24	my last day is going to be the 26th.				

Proceedings 20				
would be a Wednesday, right?				
MR. ZISSOU: Yeah, 24th, 25th, I have to I have				
to travel in an unrelated case and I'm pretty sure I have to				
be on the plane by the 28th, give or take a day, so I have to				
be in a venue by the 30th.				
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: How's the 26th?				
THE COURT: Try the 26th. I have to go to				
Washington earlier that week.				
MR. STEIN: No good for me.				
MR. ZISSOU: Why don't you make it the 27th? If				
there's a problem, I will let you know.				
THE COURT: Mr. Stein?				
MR. STEIN: Excuse me?				
THE COURT: 27th works for you?				
MR. STEIN: Yes, I'm just asking what day of the				
week that is.				
THE COURT: It's a Thursday.				
MR. SELDEN: Is there a particular time on that day,				
Your Honor?				
THE COURT: I knew that right away because William				
always offers Fridays first.				
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We can do 10:00 a.m.				
MR. SELDEN: I have a sentencing that morning at				
10:00 a.m. Is there an afternoon time that's available?				
THE COURT: We can to 11:00.				

		Proceedings	21
1	MF	R. SELDEN: I have a 10:30 sentencing also,	
2	following th	hat first sentencing. So I have two in the	
3	morning. Is	s the afternoon available, please?	
4	MF	R. STEIN: How about noon?	
5	TH	HE COURT: Is that a problem with you?	
6	MF	R. ZISSOU: Any time on Thursday is fine.	
7	TH	HE COURT: Even in the afternoon?	
8	MF	R. ZISSOU: Sure. Any time, Your Honor.	
9	MF	R. SELDEN: Sure, that works well. Thank you.	
10	TH	HE COURT: What time did you make it, William?	
11	TH	HE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Noon.	
12	TH	HE COURT: That's fine.	
13	Ar	nything else?	
14	MF	R. STEIN: Noon on the 27th?	
15	TH	HE COURT: 27th.	
16	MF	R. STEIN: Judge, I have two administrative sort	of
17	matters.		
18	TH	HE COURT: Sure.	
19	MF	R. STEIN: One is, since obviously we've been	
20	devoting a 1	lot of time on this, whether you will authorize	
21	interim voud	chers. I don't know whether I need to submit a	
22	letter to th	nat effect.	
23	MF	R. ZISSOU: If he enters it on the docket entry -	· -
24	MF	R. STEIN: So that's okay, Judge?	
25	TH	HE COURT: It's okay with me.	

MR. STEIN: The other thing is -- I spoke with the marshals before -- with the Court's permission, we want to go downstairs, and the marshals have agreed to put the clients into the cellblock, and counsel will go down there and --

THE COURT: That is absolutely encouraged. Not only permission but encouraged.

Anything else administratively we need to take care of?

MR. SELDEN: Nothing from the Government. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, so based on the information provided, discovery continues to be an issue, which we hope we've made some substantial progress on. Obviously discovery is important for a lot of reasons, including most importantly to allow defense to make some strategic determinations. Plea agreements have been extended, so to the extent that the discovery might push them in that direction, that could lead to the disposition of this matter without the necessity of trial, and the overall need of time for the proper preparation of this case given the discovery issues is more than apparent.

So for all of those reasons, without the objection of any party to otherwise meet the ends of justice, we will go over for further status on June 27th at noon and exclude the time in the interim. So we will see you on June 27th.

MR. ZISSOU: Thank you for your indulgence this

```
Proceedings
                                                                    23
    morning, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Good to see you all.
 3
               MR. SELDEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
               May we be excused?
 4
               THE COURT: You are excused.
 5
               MR. SELDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Have a nice
 6
 7
    day.
               (Matter concluded.)
8
9
10
11
12
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
13
                                            August 8, 2019
14
         /s/ Denise Parisi
           DENISE PARISI
                                                    DATE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```